

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHAEL HARDING,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Defendants.

2:10-CV-1027 JCM (PAL)

**ORDER**

Presently before the court is pro se plaintiff Michael Holding's first motion for time extension (doc. #14).

Plaintiff filed his complaint on September 16, 2010, against defendants Daniel Angus, Cheryl Burson, and Brian Williams (doc. #3). Defendants filed a motion to dismiss (doc. #8) on November 8, 2010. Plaintiff's response to the motion is due on November 23, 2010. In the present motion for time extension (doc. #14), plaintiff asserts that the prison yard was on lock-down the week he received the defendants' motion to dismiss, preventing him from going to the law library. Subsequently, the normal employee of the law library was on vacation and her substitute was not responding to requests for time in the law library. Due to these circumstances beyond his control, plaintiff was not able to research the applicable rules and case law to adequately respond to the motion.

Plaintiff is requesting an additional fifteen (15) days to file his response to defendants' motion to dismiss.

1 Good Cause Appearing,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pro se plaintiff Michael  
3 Holding's first motion for time extension (doc. #14) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall properly file and serve upon defendants his  
5 response to the motion to dismiss within fifteen (15) days from the date of this order.

6 DATED November 30, 2010.

7  
8  
9   
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28